PETHUEL FOSTER.

MAY 26, 1842. Laid upon the table.

Mr. DOAM, from the Committee on Invalid Pensions, made the following

REPORT:

The Committee on Invalid Pensions, to which had been referred the petition of Pethuel Foster, make the following report:

The petitioner, Pethuel Foster, appears to have enlisted in the service of the United States, in 1812, for the term of five years, and to have remained in active service a little over two years, and then to have gone into the peace establishment, where he served out the remainder of his term. He says he was wounded in the right hand at the battle of Williamsburgh, and, when he was discharged from the service of the United States, received a certificate from his captain, which entitled him to half pay for life; which certificate he has lost. By a letter from Peter Hagner, Auditor, the committee are informed that there is no evidence on the rolls that petitioner was wounded in the service of the United States. A physician, who is not certified to be respectable in his profession, rates his disability at one-half.

The claim of petitioner to a pension is sustained only by his own testimony, which, in the opinion of the committee, is not sufficient; and they

therefore report against the claim.

INPOST qui consequente de la consequence della c

agraci igo'ntan

May 26, 1642. Laid upon the table

Class first the Committee on Invalid Pensions, water the follows

mona

he formattee on foundid. Pelacions, to which is a least on my burging the selfibor of Tethers to the following and the following and the following the selfibor of the selfibor of the following and the following the selfibor of the selfibo

The restaurant Pethnel Faster, appears to have enlisted in this sorties at the floatest States, or the Ter term of the years, and then to help a restaurant in active services that over two years, and then to help lead to help entered in the rearist and out the remisinance of the lead of the heavy of the transfer heavy of the rearrantee are interested that there is no evidence of the man that there is no evidence of the one was volunded to be respectable in the furthers state, which was to the the source of the further, who is not certified to be respectable in the profession, resenting the new-healt.

The claim of petitioner to a pencion is sustained only by his own restitory, which, in the dulator of the committee, is not sufficient; and they breker sport against the claim.